for structures exhibiting short  $N \cdots C = O$  or  $O \cdots C = O$ contacts, the C atoms are generally displaced towards the N or O atoms respectively. The displacement exemplifies the effects of the interaction between a nucleophile and the electrophilic C atom of the carbonyl group.

We thank Dr L. F. Kelly and Professor A. J. Birch for presentation of the problem and provision of crystals and the Computer Services Centre of the Australian National University for provision of computing facilities.

## References

ANDERSON, B. F., BANDARA, B. M. R., BIRCH, A. J. & ROBERTSON, G. B. (1982). J. Organomet. Chem. To be submitted.

BIRCH, A. J., BANDARA, B. M. R., CHAMBERLAIN, K., CHAUNCY, B., DAHLER, P., DAY, A. I., JENKINS, I. D., KELLY, L. F., KHOR, T.-C., KRETSCHMER, G., LIEPA, A. J., NARULA, A. S., RAVERTY, W. D., RIZZARDO, E., SELL, C., STEPHENSON, G. R., THOMPSON, D. J. & WILLIAMSON, D. H. (1981). Tetrahedron, Suppl. 37 (9), 289-302.

- BÜRGI, H. B., DUNITZ, J. D. & SHEFTER, E. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 5065-5067.
- BÜRGI, H. B., DUNITZ, J. D. & SHEFTER, E. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 1517–1527.
- BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 563-568.

- CHURCHILL, M. R. & KALRA, K. L. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 1427–1434.
- CHURCHILL, M. R. & MASON, R. (1967). Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 301, 433-449.
- CORFIELD, P. W. R., DOEDENS, R. J. & IBERS, J. A. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 197–204.
- COTTON, F. A. & TROUP, J. M. (1974). J. Organomet. Chem. 77, 369-379.
- DUNAND, A. & ROBERTSON, G. B. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2037-2040.
- GUY, J. J., REICHERT, B. E. & SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 2504-2506.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV, p. 99. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- IRELAND, R. E., BROWN, G. G., STANFORD, R. H. & MCKENZIE, T. C. (1974). J. Org. Chem. 39, 51-59.
- JOHNSON, B. F. G., LEWIS, J., PARKER, D. G., RAITHBY, P. R. & SHELDRICK, G. M. (1978). J. Organomet. Chem. 150, 115-122.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEP II. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- KELLY, L. F. (1981). PhD Thesis. Australian National Univ., Canberra.
- MCLAUGHLIN, G. M., TAYLOR, D. & WHIMP, P. O. (1977). The ANUCRYS Structure Determination Package. Research School of Chemistry, The Australian National Univ., Canberra.
- MEULENAER, J. DE & TOMPA, H. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 1014-1018.
- ROBERTSON, G. B. & WHIMP, P. O. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1051-1059.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 2037–2040

## Tricarbonyl[2-5- $\eta$ -(dimethyl 2,4-cyclohexadiene-1 $\alpha$ ,2-dicarboxylate)]iron(0) and Tricarbonyl[2-5- $\eta$ -(dimethyl 2,4-cyclohexadiene-1 $\beta$ ,2-dicarboxylate)]iron(0)

BY ALBERT DUNAND\* AND GLEN B. ROBERTSON<sup>†</sup>

Research School of Chemistry, The Australian National University, PO Box 4, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia

(Received 18 November 1981; accepted 25 February 1982)

Abstract.  $C_{13}H_{12}FeO_7$ ,  $M_r = 336.09$ . Structures of both the  $1\alpha, 2$ - (A) and  $1\beta, 2$ -bis(methoxycarbonyl) (B) isomers were determined. (A): monoclinic, Pc, a =7.469 (2), b = 13.403 (5), c = 6.978 (2) Å,  $\beta =$ 93.85 (2)° (293 K),  $U = 697.0 \text{ Å}^3$ , Z = 2,  $D_c = 1.602$ Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 344,  $\mu(\text{Mo } Ka) = 1.143 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ;

1665 diffractometer data  $[I \ge 3\sigma(I)]$ . (B): triclinic, P1, a = 6.883(1), b = 8.949(1), c = 11.438(2) Å, a =91.50 (1),  $\beta = 101.78$  (1),  $\gamma = 96.48$  (1)° (293 K), U =684.4 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_c = 1.631$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 1.164 mm<sup>-1</sup>; refinement converged with R = 0.026,  $R_w = 0.039$  for 3309 diffractometer data. An unusually short  $O \cdots C = O$  contact in (B) [2.652(2) Å, $O(2\text{-methoxycarbonyl}) \cdots C = O(1\beta\text{-methoxycarbonyl})$ generates an appreciable pyramidal distortion [0.043 (1) Å] of the four-atom C-(1 $\beta$ -carboxylate) set.

refinement converged with R = 0.019,  $R_w = 0.027$  for

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: Laboratoire de Cristallographie aux Rayons X, Université de Genève, 24 Quai Ernest-Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

**Introduction.** Dimethyl 2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,2-dicarboxylate reacts with iron pentacarbonyl to yield a mixture of three isomeric complexes, (A), (B), and tricarbonyl[2-5- $\eta$ -(dimethyl 3,5-cyclohexadiene-1 $\alpha$ ,2 $\beta$ dicarboxylate)]iron(0), (C), in the ratio 3:1:1 (Bandara, 1981). The present work serves to characterize the two first-mentioned isomers (A) and (B).

Data measurement and processing procedures follow those outlined in the preceding paper in this issue (Dunand & Robertson, 1982). 1796 independent reflections ( $3^{\circ} < 2\theta < 56^{\circ}$ ) were recorded for (A) [linear decay rate 0.94 (19) × 10<sup>-5</sup> per reflection measured] and 3638 for (B) (no significant decay). Reflections with  $I \leq 3\sigma(I)$  were discarded.  $R_s$  values for the resultant data sets were 0.005 for (A) (1665 reflections) and 0.007 for (B) (3309 reflections). Crystal dimensions were 0.50 × 0.55 × 0.52 mm (A) and 0.28 × 0.35 × 0.60 mm (B).

Solution and refinement procedures also follow those outlined in the preceding paper. Refinements converged with R = 0.019 and  $R_w = 0.027$  for (A) (cf. R = 0.025 and  $R_w = 0.037$  for the enantiomorph), and R = 0.026

and  $R_w = 0.039$  for (B). Extinction coefficients (Zachariasen, 1963) refined to  $4.4(3) \times 10^{-7}$  and  $5.3(12) \times 10^{-7}$  for (A) and (B) respectively and  $[\sum wd^2/(n-s)]^{1/2}$  values were 1.17 and 1.58. Final difference syntheses revealed no features higher than  $0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  in (A) and  $0.40 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  in (B). Atom nomenclature is defined in Fig. 1 and the corre-



Fig. 1. The labelling scheme for (A) and (B).

	Isomer A			B*		Isomer B		
	x	У	Z	$(\dot{A}^2)$	x	$\mathbf{r}$	Z	(Ų)
Fe	0	20795 (1)	25000	2.87	-23637 (2)	22314 (2)	13438 (1)	2.53
C(1)	-2463 (2)	2630(1)	3311 (2)	2.67	-1281 (2)	1207 (2)	2954 (1)	2.61
C(2)	-2518 (2)	2393 (1)	1306 (2)	3.06	-3414 (2)	888 (1)	2541(1)	3.04
C(3)	-1227 (3)	2910 (2)	305 (3)	3.57	-4439 (2)	2166 (2)	2412(1)	3.38
C(4)	-59 (3)	3538 (2)	1432 (3)	3.64	-3221(2)	3563 (1)	2659(1)	3.29
C(5)	-777 (3)	4233 (1)	2891 (4)	3.89	-1546 (2)	3790 (1)	3747 (1)	3.39
C(6)	-2303(2)	3722 (1)	3897 (3)	2.88	-576 (2)	2342(1)	4022 (1)	2.78
C(7)	-3432 (3)	2012 (1)	4660 (3)	3.08	-54 (2)	-52(1)	2933 (1)	3.02
O(8)	-3551(3)	2213 (2)	6318 (3)	4.74	1617 (2)	-51(1)	3508 (1)	4.79
O(9)	-4159 (3)	1197 (1)	3829 (2)	4.33	-1018 (2)	-1214(1)	2199 (1)	3.78
C(10)	-5034 (5)	530 (2)	5083 (6)	5.78	35 (3)	-2490 (2)	2137 (2)	4.61
C(11)	-4104(3)	4235 (1)	3484 (3)	2.94	1680 (2)	2743 (1)	4360 (1)	2.97
O(12)	-5481 (2)	3818(1)	3014 (3)	4.37	2663 (2)	3444 (1)	3771 (1)	4.07
O(13)	-3973 (2)	5215(1)	3771 (2)	3.92	2407 (1)	2341 (1)	5462 (1)	4.17
C(14)	-5571 (3)	5793 (2)	3352 (4)	4.20	4534 (3)	2667 (3)	5865 (2)	5.66
C(15)	1875 (3)	1799 (2)	1121 (4)	3.96	-4005 (2)	2988 (2)	144 (1)	3.73
O(16)	3046 (3)	1653 (2)	207 (4)	5.96	-5052 (2)	3423 (2)	-631 (1)	5.87
C(17)	-417 (3)	773 (2)	2877 (3)	4.19	-2228 (2)	685 (2)	341(1)	3.17
O(18)	-597 (5)	-57 (2)	3075 (5)	6.97	-2225 (2)	-251 (1)	-346 (1)	4.58
C(19)	1124 (3)	2428 (2)	4740 (3)	3.87	-113 (2)	3422 (1)	1266 (1)	3.25
O(20)	1735 (4)	2676 (3)	6181 (4)	6.42	1273 (2)	4172 (2)	1165 (1)	4.91
H(C2)	-322 (3)	187 (2)	66 (3)	2.5 (4)	-400 (3)	-6 (2)	231 (2)	3.4 (3
H(C3)	-103 (4)	274 (2)	-103 (4)	3.2 (5)	-569 (3)	210 (2)	211 (2)	4.4 (4
H(C4)	96 (4)	374 (2)	78 (5)	4.0 (6)	-395 (3)	438 (2)	236 (2)	3.6 (3
H1(C5)	12 (5)	442 (3)	380 (5)	4.5 (6)	-51 (2)	459 (2)	364 (1)	3.1 (3
H2(C5)	-129 (5)	480 (3)	231 (5)	5.3 (7)	-215 (4)	405 (3)	442 (2)	7.2 (6
H(C6)	-199 (4)	371 (2)	525 (4)	3.1 (4)	-102 (2)	191 (2)	469 (1)	2.8 (3
HI(C10)	-511 (8)	-2 (5)	441 (9)	9.2 (17)	-57 (5)	-295 (4)	147 (3)	7.8 (7
H2(C10)	-589 (7)	88 (4)	589 (8)	7.3 (10)	24 (4)	-291 (3)	289 (2)	6.1 (5
H3(C10)	-420 (6)	26 (3)	593 (7)	5.8 (8)	121 (4)	-220 (3)	193 (2)	6.3 (6
H1(C14)	-521 (7)	646 (4)	385 (8)	8.4 (13)	476 (4)	258 (3)	670 (3)	6.3 (6
H2(C14)	-655 (8)	557 (4)	426 (9)	9.1 (14)	499 (5)	380 (4)	575 (3)	9.1 (9
H3(C14)	-598 (6)	561 (3)	211 (7)	6.6 (9)	482 (3)	195 (2)	551 (2)	3.2 (4

Table 1. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{5}$  for Fe,  $\times 10^{4}$  for C and O,  $\times 10^{3}$  for H) and isotropic thermal parameters

\*  $B_{eq} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} B_{ij} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$ ; actual *B* values for H atoms.

sponding atomic coordinates are listed in Table 1.\* The figures were drawn with ORTEP (Johnson, 1976).

**Discussion.** Interest in the title compounds results from the continuing studies by A. J. Birch and co-workers (Birch *et al.*, 1981; Bandara, 1981) of substituted (1,3-cyclohexadiene)Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> complexes as precursors in organic synthesis. The present results have been used to investigate correlations between stereochemistry and spectral properties and the usefulness of <sup>1</sup>H NMR data as a stereochemical probe in these systems (Birch & Bandara, 1982).

\* Lists of structure amplitudes, anisotropic thermal parameters and deviations from planes have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36767 (25 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view of (A) perpendicular to the diene plane. Vibration ellipsoids correspond to 50% probability surfaces and H atoms are depicted as 0.1 Å radius spheres.



Fig. 3. Stereoscopic view of (B).

Except for the configurational difference at the 1 ring position (Figs. 2 and 3) both isomers exhibit almost identical stereochemistry. Bond lengths and bond angles are compared in Tables 2 and 3. They exhibit excellent internal agreement and are similar to those found in other (1,3-cyclohexadiene)tricarbonyliron derivatives (Dunand & Robertson, 1982, and references therein).

The dihedral angles between the C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4) and C(4), C(5), C(6), C(1) sets  $|42 \cdot 1$  for (A);  $43 \cdot 4^{\circ}$  for (B)] are substantially exceeded only by that in (octafluoro-1,3-cyclohexadiene)Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> (47 \cdot 3°; Churchill & Mason, 1967). While the diene [C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4)] sets in both isomers are almost planar [torsion angles 2 \cdot 4 (3) for (A), 2 \cdot 5 (2)^{\circ} for (B)], substantial torsion occurs about the C(5)–C(6) bonds [7 \cdot 4 (2), (A); 12 \cdot 7 (1)^{\circ}, (B)]. As is usually observed the diene substituent atoms C(7), H(C2), H(C3) and H(C4) are each displaced out of the diene plane towards the metal.

The 2-methoxycarbonyl substituent exhibits an almost ideally staggered conformation in (B), with  $C(2)-C(1)-C(7)-O(9) = 19 \cdot 1$  (2) and C(6)-C(1)-C(1) $C(7)-O(8) = -17.6 (2)^{\circ}$ , but in (A) the group leans towards the plane of the diene with angles  $6 \cdot 2$  (3) and -24.4 (3)° respectively. Consequently, the ester O(9) is in closer contact with carbonyl  $-C(17)\equiv O(18)$ in (B)  $[O(9)\cdots C(17) = 2.808(2), O(9)\cdots O(18) =$ 3.025(2) Å] than in (A)  $[O(9)\cdots C(17) = 2.971(3),$  $O(9) \cdots O(18) = 3.219 (4) \text{ Å}$ ]. The presence of the electron-withdrawing 2-methoxycarbonyl substituents modifies the geometry of the coordinated diene so as to remove the C(1)-C(2)/C(3)-C(4) bond-length equivalence. Thus, the C(1)-C(2) bond [1.433 (2) in (A);1.441 (2) Å in (B)] is significantly longer in both isomers than the C(3)-C(4) bond [1.414 (3) in (A); 1.412 (2) Å in (B)]. The C(1)–C(6) and C(4)–C(5) bonds exhibit similar inequivalence [1.522(2) and 1.505 (3) in (A); 1.530 (2) and 1.506 (2) Å in (B)]. All

## Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) in the two isomers

	(A)	( <i>B</i> )		( <i>A</i> )	( <i>B</i> )
$F_{\theta} = C(1)$	2.095 (2)	2.115(1)	C(7) - C(1)	1.480 (3)	1.484 (2)
$F_{c} = C(1)$	2.049(2)	2.034(1)	C(7) - O(8)	1.197 (3)	1.202 (3)
$Fe^{-C(2)}$	2.058(2)	2.058(1)	C(7) - O(9)	1.336(3)	1.341 (2)
Fe = C(3)	2.092(2)	$2 \cdot 112(1)$	O(9) - C(10)	1.438 (3)	1.427 (2)
Fe = C(4)	1.791(2)	1.790(1)	C(11) - C(6)	1.521(2)	1.521 (2)
Fe = C(13)	1.91(2)	1.797(1)	C(11) - O(12)	1.197 (3)	1.190 (2)
Fe = C(17)	1.786(2)	1.798(1)	C(11) = O(13)	1.331(2)	1.332 (2)
Fe = C(19)	1.134(3)	1.130(2)	O(13) - C(14)	1.437 (3)	1.436 (2)
C(13) = O(10)	1 134 (3)	1.134(2)	C(2) - H(C2)	0.97 (3)	0.91 (2)
C(17) = O(16)	1,127(2)	1.130(2)	C(3) - H(C3)	0.98(3)	0.85 (2)
C(19) = O(20)	$1 \cdot 127(3)$	1.441(2)	C(4) - H(C4)	0.95 (3)	0.97 (2)
C(1) - C(2)	1.433(2)	1.441(2) 1.406(2)	C(5) - H1(C5)	0.93(4)	0.98(2)
C(2) = C(3)	1.410(3)	1.400(2)	$C(5) = H^2(C5)$	0.93(4)	0.98(3)
C(3) - C(4)	1.414(3)	1.412(2)	C(6) - H(C6)	0.96(3)	0.95(2)
C(4) - C(5)	1.505(3)	1.500(2)	C(Me) = H	$0.96 \pm 0.09$	0.92 + 0.13
C(5) - C(6)	1.539 (3)	$1 \cdot 333(2)$		0 00 10 00	
C(6) - C(1)	1.522 (2)	1.530(2)			

Table 3. Bond angles (°) in the two isomers

	( <i>A</i> )	( <i>B</i> )
C(15) - Fe - C(17)	91.36 (11)	88.57 (6)
C(15) - Fe - C(19)	100.75 (11)	97.77(6)
C(17) - Fe - C(19)	101.68 (11)	100.76 (6)
Fe-C(15)-O(16)	177.4(2)	177.9(1)
Fe-C(17)-O(18)	176.5 (3)	175.6(1)
Fe-C(19)-O(20)	175.6(3)	177.0(1)
C(2)-C(1)-C(6)	118.2(2)	115-8 (1)
C(2)-C(1)-C(7)	120.9(2)	$118 \cdot 1(1)$
C(6)-C(1)-C(7)	113.6 (1)	115.3(1)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	113.5 (2)	114.7(1)
C(1)-C(2)-H(C2)	126-3 (14)	121.0 (11)
C(3)-C(2)-H(C2)	119.6 (14)	123.9 (11)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	115.7 (2)	115.4 (1)
C(2)-C(3)-H(C3)	120.2 (18)	121.9 (14)
C(4) - C(3) - H(C3)	123.1 (18)	122.1 (14)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	120.7 (2)	120.1(1)
C(3)-C(4)-H(C4)	112.9 (19)	111-3 (10)
C(5)-C(4)-H(C4)	118.5 (18)	121.2 (10)
C(4)-C(5)-C(6)	110.0 (2)	111.6 (1)
C(4)-C(5)-H1(C5)	110.8 (21)	111.0 (9)
C(4) - C(5) - H2(C5)	111.4 (23)	106.2 (16)
C(6)-C(5)-H1(C5)	109.3 (21)	108.4 (10)
C(6)-C(5)-H2(C5)	105-3 (24)	108.1 (16)
H1(C5)-C(5)-H2(C5)	109.9 (32)	111.5 (18)
C(1)-C(6)-C(5)	110.6(1)	109.4 (1)
C(1)-C(6)-C(11)	109.3 (1)	114.0(1)
C(1)-C(6)-H(C6)	104.6 (15)	107.6 (9)
C(5)-C(6)-C(11)	112.7 (2)	108.7(1)
C(5)-C(6)-H(C6)	108.9 (16)	108.6 (10)
C(11)-C(6)-H(C6)	110-4 (16)	108.4 (9)
C(1)-C(7)-O(8)	124-1 (2)	124.6 (1)
C(1)-C(7)-O(9)	112.6 (2)	112.5(1)
O(8) - C(7) - O(9)	123.4 (2)	122.9(1)
C(7) - O(9) - C(10)	115.6 (2)	116.5(1)
C(6)-C(11)-O(12)	125.0 (2)	125.0(1)
C(6) - C(11) - O(13)	111.2 (2)	111.1 (1)
O(12) - C(11) - O(13)	123.7 (2)	123.5 (1)
C(11) - O(13) - C(14)	116.8 (2)	115.5(1)
O-C(Me)-H	$106.8 \pm 5.8$	$105.4 \pm 9.2$
H - C(Me) - H	111 + 21	$113 \pm 16$

four methoxycarbonyl groups are slightly aplanar [maximum deviation 0.050 (3) Å], and each isomer exhibits a single short non-bonded  $O \cdots C=O$  contact between its methoxycarbonyl substituents. In (A), the contact involves  $O(12) \cdots C(7)=O(8)$  with  $O(12) \cdots$  C(7) = 3.048 (3) Å and angles  $C(1)-C(7) \cdots O(12) =$  64.5 (1),  $O(8)-C(7) \cdots O(12) = 96.7$  (2), O(9)-  $C(7) \cdots O(12) = 107.9$  (1) and  $C(11)-O(12) \cdots C(7) =$  82.3 (1)°. The central atom C(7) does not deviate significantly from the plane of the C(1), O(8), O(9) set [0.002 (2) Å only]. In (B), the contact involves

 $O(8) \cdots C(11) = O(12)$ . The distance is substantially shorter [2.652 (2) Å], the relevant angles are closer to 90°  $[C(6)-C(11)\cdots O(8) = 82 \cdot 1 (1), O(12)-C(11)\cdots$  $O(8) = 101 \cdot 8(1), O(13) - C(11) \cdots O(8) = 90.4(1),$  $C(7)-O(8)\cdots C(11) = 91.6 (1)^{\circ}$ , and the central atom C(11) is now significantly displaced from the plane of the C(6), O(12), O(13) carbonyl set towards O(8) [by 0.043 (1) Å]. Just such a result is expected. Bürgi, Dunitz & Shefter (1974) have investigated intraand intermolecular  $O \cdots C = O$  interactions and shown that when a nucleophilic O atom is less than about 3 Å from an electrophilic C atom (in C=O), the latter is displaced from the plane of the carbonyl group towards the nucleophile. The displacement tends to increase as the O...C distance decreases. The parameters which describe the  $O \cdots C = O$  interactions in (A) and (B), and the magnitudes of the C-atom displacements, are in good agreement with that description. The 0.043 (1) Å displacement in (B) is amongst the largest observed for an interaction between ester groups. The ester O(12) in (B) is in close contact with the carbonyl  $-C(19) \equiv O(20) [O(12) \cdots C(19) = 3.101(2), O(12)]$  $\cdots O(2) = 3.050 (2) \text{ Å}.$ 

We thank Dr B. M. R. Bandara and Professor A. J. Birch for presenting this problem and for provision of crystalline samples, and the Australian National University Computer Services Centre for computing facilities.

## References

- BANDARA, B. M. R. (1981). Thesis, Australian National Univ., Canberra.
- BIRCH, A. J. & BANDARA, B. M. R. (1982). In preparation.
- BIRCH, A. J., BANDARA, B. M. R., CHAMBERLAIN, K., CHAUNCY, B., DAHLER, P., DAY, A. I., JENKINS, E. D., KELLY, L. F., KHOR, T.-C., KRETSCHMER, G., LIEPA, A. J., NARULA, A. S., RAVERTY, W. D., RIZZARDO, E., SELL, C., STEPHENSON, G. R., THOMPSON, D. J. & WILLIAMSON, D. H. (1981). Tetrahedron, Suppl. 37 (9), 289–302.
- BÜRGI, H. B., DUNITZ, J. D. & SHEFTER, E. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 1517-1527.
- CHURCHILL, M. R. & MASON, R. (1967). Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, **301**, 433–449.
- DUNAND, A. & ROBERTSON, G. B. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2034–2037.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEP II. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.